

DISSERTATION REFERENCES

- Abdullah, F., & Ward, R. (2016). Developing a general extended technology acceptance model for e-learning (GETAMEL) by analyzing commonly used external factors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 56, 238-256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.11.036>
- Abdullah, F., Ward, R., & Ahmed, E. (2016). Investigating the influence of the most commonly used external variables of TAM on students' perceived ease of use (PEOU) and perceived usefulness (PU) of e-portfolios. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 75-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.014>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50, 179-211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting social behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Al-Fraihat, D., Joy, M., Masa'deh, R., & Sinclair, J. (2020). Evaluating e-learning systems success: An empirical study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 102, 67-86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.004>
- Al-Gahtani, S. S. (2016). An empirical investigation of e-learning acceptance and assimilation: A structural equation model. *Applied Computing and Informatics*, 12(1), 27-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aci.2014.09.001>
- Alshurideh, M. T., Salloum, S. A., Al Kurdi, B., Abdel Monem, A., & Shaalan, K. (2019). Understanding the quality determinants that influence the intention to use mobile learning platforms: A practical study. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 13(11), 157-183. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i11.10300>
- Altin Gumussoy, C., Kaya, A., & Ozlu, E. (2018). Determinants of mobile banking use: An extended TAM with perceived risk, mobility access, compatibility, perceived self-efficacy, and subjective norms. In F. Calisir & H. Camgoz Akdag (Eds.), *Industrial engineering in the industry 4.0 era. Lecture notes in management and industrial engineering*. (pp. 225-238) Cham, Switzerland: Springer. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71225-3_20
- Anni, C. T., Sunawan, & Haryono. (2018). School counselors' intention to use technology: The technology acceptance model. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 17(2), 120-124. <http://www.tojet.net/>
- Aparicio, M., Bacao, F., & Oliveira, T. (2017). Grit in the path to e-learning success. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 66, 388-399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.10.009>
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory and clinical psychology. In N. J. Smelser & P. B. Baltes (Eds.), *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences* (pp. 14250-14254). Oxford, England: Pergamon. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043076-7/01340-1>

- Bazelais, P., Doleck, T., & Lemay, D. J. (2018). Investigating the predictive power of TAM: A case study of CEGEP students' intentions to use online learning technologies. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(1), 93-111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9587-0>
- Beinicke, A., & Bipp, T. (2018). Evaluating training outcomes in Corporate e-learning and classroom training. *Vocations and Learning*, 11, 521-528. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12186-018-9201-7>
- Belland, B. R., Walker, A. E., Kim, N. J., & Lefler, M. (2017). Synthesizing results from empirical research on computer-based scaffolding in STEM education: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 87, 309-344. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316670999>
- Berglund, P. A. (2014). Analysis of survey data using the SAS survey procedures: A primer. Paper presented at the June 2014 Wisconsin and Illinois SAS User's Group Meeting, Milwaukee, WI. Retrieved May 8, 2019, from <http://www.wiilsu.org/HSDdff932jYolhld209/SUSJun2014/Proceedings/Slides/Berglund%20-%20Analysis%20of%20Survey%20Data%20Using%20the%20SAS%20SURVEY%20Procedures.pdf>
- Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Schmid, R. F., & Tamim, R. M. (2018). Gauging the effectiveness of educational technology integration in education: What the best-quality meta-analyses tell us. In M. Spector, B. Lockee, & M. Childress (Eds), *Learning, design, and technology: An international compendium of theory, research, practice, and policy* (pp. 1-25). Basel, Switzerland: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17727-4_109-1
- Borokhovski, E., Pickup, D., El Saadi, L., Rabah, J., & Tamim, R. M. (2018). *Gender and ICT: Meta-analysis and systematic review* (Report No. 2018-01). Burnaby, British Columbia: Commonwealth of Learning (COL). Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/11599/3089>
- Bouten, M. (2008). Compatibility and technology acceptance, consolidating, validating, and extending concepts. *Faculty of Economics and Business Administration*. Maastricht, Netherlands: Maastricht University.
- Cai, Z., Fan, X., & Du, J. (2017). Gender and attitudes toward technology use: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 105, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.11.003>
- Caldarelli, A., Ferri, L., Maffei, M., & Spanò, R. (2019). Accountants are from Mars, ICT practitioners are from Venus. Predicting technology acceptance between the two groups. In A. Lazazzara, R. Nacamulli, C. Rossignoli, & S. Za (Eds.), *Organizing for digital innovation* (Vol 27, pp. 27-38). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90500-6_3

- Calisir, F., Altin Gumussoy, C., Bayraktaroglu, A. E., & Karaali, D. (2014a). Predicting the intention to use a web- based learning system: Perceived content quality, anxiety, perceived system quality, image, and the technology acceptance model. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, 24, 515-531. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hfm.20548>
- Calisir, F., Altin Gumussoy, C., Bayraktaroglu, A. E., & Karaali, D. (2014b). Web-based learning system acceptability measure [Measurement instrument]. Retrieved from PsycTESTS Database. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t42626-000>
- Cam, S. S., Yazar, G., Toraman, C., & Erdamar, G. K. (2016). The effects of gender on the attitudes towards computer-assisted instruction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(5), 250-261. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v4i5.1515>
- Campbell, C. L. (2018). *Factors influencing project managers' intention to use electronic-learning technology for professional development: A correlational study*. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. (2140353593).
- Cook, J. M., Thompson, R., & Schnurr, P. P. (2015). Perceived characteristics of intervention scale: Development and psychometric properties. *Assessment*, 22(6), 704-714. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191114561254>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 13, 319-340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- Davis, F. D. (1993). User acceptance of information technology: System characteristics, user perceptions, and behavioral impacts. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 38, 475-487. <https://doi.org/10.1006/imms.1993.1022>
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35, 982-1003. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.35.8.982>
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1992). Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to use computers in the workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 22, 1111-1132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1992.tb00945.x>
- DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (1992). Information system success: The quest for the dependent variable. *Information Systems Research*, 3(1), 60-95. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.3.1.60>

- Doleck, T., Bazelais, P., & Lemay, D. J. (2018). Is a general extended technology acceptance model for e-learning generalizable?. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, *10*, 133-147. <https://doi.org/10.34105/j.kmel.2018.10.009>
- Doll, W. J., Hendrickson, A., & Deng, X. (1998). Using Davis's perceived usefulness and ease-of-use instruments for decision making: A confirmatory and multigroup invariance analysis. *Decision Sciences*, *29*, 839-869. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.1998.tb00879.x>
- Esteban-Millat, I., Martínez-López, F. J., Pujol-Jover, M., Gázquez-Abad, J. C., & Alegret, A. (2018). An extension of the technology acceptance model for online learning environments. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *26*, 895-910. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2017.1421560>
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, *41*, 1149-1160. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149>
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Frey, B. B. (2018). *The SAGE encyclopedia of educational research, measurement, and evaluation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506326139>
- George, T. O., Onwumah, A. C., Fagbohun, M. O., Adebayo, M. E., & Olonade, O. Y. (2019). Gender differences in ICT studies: A study of selected public secondary schools in Ogun State, Nigeria. In I. Williams, O. Millward, & R. Layton (Ed.), *Gender gaps and the social inclusion movement in ICT*. (pp. 147-169). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-7068-4.ch008>
- Gerstein, D. (2018). Belmont report. In B. Frey (Ed.), *The SAGE encyclopedia of educational research, measurement, and evaluation*. (Vol. 1, pp. 192-193). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506326139.n79>
- Hayes, A. F. (2017). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Heeringa, S. G., West, B. T., & Berglund, P. A. (2017). *Applied survey data analysis*. New York, NY: Chapman and Hall/CRC. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315153278>
- Ho Cheong, J., & Park, M. (2005). Mobile internet acceptance in Korea. *Internet Research*, *15*, 125-140. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10662240510590324>
- Hossain, M., Kim, M., & Jahan, N. (2019). Can "liking" behavior lead to usage intention on Facebook? Uses and gratification theory perspective. *Sustainability*, *11*, 1166-1178. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041166>

- Ibrahim, R., Leng, N. S., Yusoff, R. C. M., Samy, G. N., Masrom, S., & Rizman, Z. I. (2018). E-learning acceptance based on the technology acceptance model (TAM). *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 9(4S), 871-889. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jfas.v9i4S.50>
- Ifeduba, E., & Christopher, N. (2018). Validation of digital publishing innovation adoption framework. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/>
- Igbaria, M., Schiffman, S. J., & Wieckowski, T. J. (1994). The respective roles of perceived usefulness and perceived fun in the acceptance of microcomputer technology. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 13, 349-361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01449299408914616>
- Jean, R. B., Sinkovics, R. R., & Kim, D. (2014). The impact of technological, organizational, and environmental characteristics on electronic collaboration and relationship performance in international customer-supplier relationships. *Information & Management*, 51, 854-864. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2014.08.002>
- Jong, M. S., Chan, T., Hue, M., & Tam, V. W. L. (2018). Gamifying and mobilizing social inquiry-based learning in authentic outdoor environments. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 21(4), 277-292. <https://www.jstor.org/journal/jeductechsoci>
- Kapoor, K. K., Dwivedi, Y. K. & Williams, M. D. (2014). Examining consumer acceptance of green innovations using innovation characteristics: A conceptual approach. *International Journal of Technology Management & Sustainable Development*, 13, 135-160. https://doi.org/10.1386/tmsd.13.2.135_1
- Karahanna, E., Agarwal, R., & Angst, C. M. (2006). Reconceptualizing compatibility beliefs in technology acceptance research. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 30, 781-804. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148754>
- Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., & Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and gratifications research. *The Public Opinion Quarterly*, 37, 509-523. <https://doi.org/10.1086/268109>
- Kim, D. (2015). The study on factors affecting mobile multimedia training. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 18(1), 72-83. <https://www.abacademies.org/journals/journal-of-management-information-and-decision-sciences-home.html>
- Kimiloglu, H., Ozturan, M., & Kutlu, B. (2017). Perceptions about and attitude toward the usage of e-learning in corporate training. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 72, 339-349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.02.062>
- Lee, B., Yoon, J. R., & Lee, I. (2009). Learners' acceptance of e-learning in South Korea: Theories and results. *Computers & Education*, 53, 1320-1329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.06.014>

- Lim, E., & Kim, S. (2018). Exploring the factors affecting learning satisfaction in the K-MOOC learning environment. In T. Bastiaens, J. Van Braak, M. Brown, L. Cantoni, M. Castro, R. Christensen, ... O. Zawacki-Richter (Eds.), *Proceedings of EdMedia: World Conference on Educational Media and Technology* (pp. 2100-2105). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/184451/>
- Liu, H., Koehler, M. J., & Wang, L. (2019). Examining the alignment of foreign language teachers' technology use intention and actual usage. In K. Graziano (Ed.), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 1938-1943). Las Vegas, NV, United States: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/207911/>
- Marangunić, N., & Granić, A. (2015). Technology acceptance model: A literature review from 1986 to 2013. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 14(1), 81-95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-014-0348-1>
- Matikiti, R., Mpinganjira, M., & Roberts-Lombard, M. (2018). Application of the technology acceptance model and the technology–organization–environment model to examine social media marketing use in the South African tourism industry. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 20(1), 2613-2639. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajim.v20i1.790>
- McCarty, A. J., & Skibniewski, M. J. (2014). Toward a framework for project management information system training. *PM World Journal*, III(IX). <https://pmworldjournal.com/>
- McCarty, A. J., & Skibniewski, M. J. (2015). Understanding the value of training in the professional workplace: A literature review. *International Journal of Continuing Engineering Education and Lifelong Learning*, 25, 347-358. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJCEELL.2015.072676>
- McCarty, A. J., & Skibniewski, M. J. (2017). The impact of PMIS training: Patterns of benefits realization in project management information systems training. *Journal of Engineering, Project, and Production Management*, 7(1), 2-13. <https://doi.org/10.32738/JEPPM.201701.0002>
- Mertler, C. A., & Vannatta, R. A. (2017). *Advanced and multivariate statistical methods: Practical application and interpretation* (6th ed.) New York, NY: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315266978>
- Momani, A. M., Jamous, M. M., & Hilles, S. M. S. (2017). Technology acceptance theories: Review and classification. *International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology, and Learning*, 7(2), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJCBPL.2017040101>
- Moore, G. C., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an instrument to measure the perceptions of adopting an information technology innovation. *Information Systems Research*, 2, 192-222. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2.3.192>

- Mortenson, M. J., & Vidgen, R. (2016). A computational literature review of the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Information Management*, 36, 1248-1259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.07.007>
- Niiranen, S. (2017). Gender and technology education. In M. de Vries (Ed.), *Handbook of Technology Education* (pp.1-14). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-38889-2_61-1
- Park, K., Park, N., & Heo, W. (2018). Factors influencing intranet acceptance in the restaurant industry: Use of technology acceptance model. *International Business Research*, 11(10), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v11n10p1>
- Project Management Institute. (2017). *Job growth and talent gap in project management Report: 2017-2027*. Retrieved February 13, 2019, from <https://www.pmi.org/-/media/pmi/documents/public/pdf/learning/job-growth-report.pdf>
- Qualtrics. (2014). *Esomar 28*. Unpublished manuscript. Retrieved May 8, 2019, from <https://success.qualtrics.com/rs/qualtrics/images/ESOMAR%2028%202014.pdf>
- Qualtrics. (2018). *Qualtrics*. <http://qualtrics.com>
- Ramazani, J., & Jergeas, G. (2015). Project managers and the journey from good to great: The benefits of investment in project management training and education. *International Journal of Project Management*. 33(1), 41-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.03.012>
- Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2015). Citizen's adoption of an e-government system: Validating extended social cognitive theory (SCT). *Government Information Quarterly*, 32, 172-181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.02.002>
- Ray, A., Dhir, A., Bala, P. K., & Kaur, P. (2019). Why do people use food delivery apps (FDA)? A uses and gratification theory perspective. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 51, 221-230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.05.025>
- Rejón-Guardia, F., Polo-Peña, A. I., & Maraver-Tarifa, G. (2019). The acceptance of a personal learning environment based on Google apps: The role of subjective norms and social image. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 1-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-019-09206-1>
- Rogers, E. M. (1962). *Diffusion of innovations*. New York, NY: Free Press of Glencoe.
- Rondan-Cataluña, F. J., Arenas-Gaitán, J., & Ramírez-Correa, P. E. (2015). A comparison of the different versions of popular technology acceptance models: A nonlinear perspective. *Kybernetes*, 44, 788-805. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-09-2014-0184>

- Sarver, V. T. (1983). Ajzen and Fishbein's theory of reasoned action: A critical assessment. *Journal for The Theory of Social Behaviour*, 13, 155-164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5914.1983.tb00469.x>
- SAS Institute. (2017). *Base SAS 9.4 procedures guide: Statistical procedures*. SAS Institute. Retrieved August 12, 2019, from <http://documentation.sas.com/>
- SAS Institute. (2018). *The surveylogistic procedure*. Retrieved March 21, 2019, from https://go.documentation.sas.com/?cdcId=pgmsascdc&cdcVersion=9.4_3.4&docsetId=statug&docsetTarget=statug_surveylogistic_toc.htm&locale=en
- Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Tondeur, J. (2019). The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to explaining teachers' adoption of digital technology in education. *Computers & Education*, 128, 13-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.009>
- Schindler, P. S. (2019). *Business research methods* (Vol. 13). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Scholtz, B., & Kapeso, M. (2014). An m-learning framework for ERP systems in higher education. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 11, 287-301. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-09-2014-0030>
- Schreiber-Gregory, D. N., & Jackson, H. M. (2017). Multicollinearity: what is it, why should we care, and how can it be controlled. In *Proceedings of SAS Global Forum 2017*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute. Retrieved from <https://support.sas.com/resources/papers/proceedings17/1404-2017.pdf>
- Servidio, R., & Cronin, M. (2018). PerLE: An “open source,” eLearning Moodle-based platform. A study of university undergraduates' acceptance. *Behavioral Sciences (Basel, Switzerland)*, 8(7), 63. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs8070063>
- Sezer, B., & Yilmaz, R. (2019). Learning management system acceptance scale (LMSAS): A validity and reliability study. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(3), 15-30. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.3959>
- Singh, G., & Hardaker, G. (2014). Barriers and enablers to adoption and diffusion of eLearning. *Education + Training*, 56, 105-121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-11-2012-0123>
- Singh, H. (2016, June 27). How many project managers are there in the world? [Web log post]. Retrieved August 14, 2019, from <https://www.binfire.com/blog/2016/06/how-many-project-managers-in-the-world/>
- Stuart, K., Maynard, L., & Rouncefield, C. (2015). *Evaluation practice for projects with young people: A guide to creative research*. London, England: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781473917811>

- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2019). *Using multivariate statistics* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Taherdoost, H. (2018). A review of technology acceptance and adoption models and theories. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 22, 960-967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.03.137>
- Taylor, S., & Todd, P. A. (1995). Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models. *Information Systems Research*, 6, 144-176. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.6.2.144>
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., & Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: Toward a conceptual model of utilization. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 15(1), 125-143. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249443>
- To, W. M., & Tang, M. N. F. (2019). Computer-based course evaluation: An extended technology acceptance model. *Educational Studies*, 45, 131-144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2018.1443797>
- Triandis, H. C. (1980). Values, attitudes, and interpersonal behavior. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, 27, 195-259. Retrieved from <https://psychology.unl.edu/symposium/>
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2018). *2018 Standard occupational classifications (SOC) Definitions*. Retrieved August 13, 2019, from https://www.bls.gov/soc/2018/soc_2018_definitions.pdf
- Venkatesh, V. (2000). Determinants of perceived ease of use: Integrating control, intrinsic motivation, and emotion into the technology acceptance model. *Information Systems Research*, 11, 342-365. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.11.4.342.11872>
- Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology acceptance model 3 and a research agenda on interventions. *Decision Sciences*, 39, 273-315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2008.00192.x>
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (1996). A model of the antecedents of perceived ease of use: Development and test. *Decision Sciences*, 27, 451-481. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/15405915>
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46, 186-204. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 23, 425-478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>

- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer acceptance and use of information technology: Extending the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 36(1), 157-178. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 26(2), xiii-xxiii. Retrieved from <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/2017160.2017162>
- Yang, M., Shao, Z., Liu, Q., & Liu, C. (2017). Understanding the quality factors that influence the continuance intention of students toward participation in MOOCs. *Educational Technology, Research, and Development*, 65, 1195-1214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-017-9513-6>
- Yang, M., & Yuan, K. H. (2016). Robust methods for moderation analysis with a two-level regression model. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 51, 757-771. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1235965>
- Yuan, K. H., Cheng, Y., & Maxwell, S. (2013). Moderation analysis using a two-level regression model. *Psychometrika*, 79, 701-732. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-013-9357-x>